

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/798,099	03/11/2004	Bruce Roesler	61,733-143	6068
27305 7	590 06/21/2005	•	EXAM	INER
	HOWARD ATTORNE	RINEHART,	KENNETH	
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			3749	
			DATE MAILED, 04/21/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/798,099	ROESLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thint d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' ute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	May 2005.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed solved to claim(s) 11-23,25-34 and 36-42 is/are allowed solved claim(s) 1-4,24 and 35 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction	rawn from consideration. ed.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/25/05, 10/08/04 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	are: a)  accepted or b)  one drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Lacoste does not teach or suggest the support members that extend across the width of the oven module with each support member having a length that exceeds the width of the oven to provide ample structural support to the oven, a reinforced modular construction of the Applicant's oven wherein the side walls 32 and the floor panels 20 are interconnected by a support members, as shown in Figure 2a of the Applicant's invention. The examiner disagrees. Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. The references read on the claim language as detailed in the rejection. The revisions to the drawings do not show the remote location or the forming step.

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the including the step of forming a first set of weld seams between said roof and said side walls and second set of weld seam between said side walls and said floor, said step of providing a support is further defined by affixing said support over said first set of seams and said second set of seams thereby securing said assembly for transportation to a remote location, including the step of removing said support from said module when said module has arrive at the remote location must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 3749

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2, 24, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 2, 24, and 35 refer to each of said modules define an inner cavity having a thermal insulator disposed therein which was not described in the specification in such a way as

Application/Control Number: 10/798,099 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lacoste, III (3851427). Lacoste shows a plurality of modules positioned in a generally abutting relationship (fig. 4), wherein each of said modules includes a roof, side walls, and a floor having a length and a width (fig. 2, fig. 4), said floor formed from abutting floor panels (63, fig. 5b) reinforced by a plurality of support members spaced along said length of said floor and having a length greater than said width of said floor (24, fig. 5b); said side walls including an inner side wall panel disposed in an overlapping relationship with said floor (14, fig. 5b) and a side wall cladding panel supported by said support members along said width of said floor (34, fig. 5b) thereby concealing thermal insulating material disposed between said inner side wall panel and said side wall cladding panel (26, fig. 5b), each of said floor modules define an inner cavity having a thermal insulator disposed therein (26, fig. 5b), said roof includes roof panels spaced apart and fixedly attached between said side walls thereby supporting said side walls in a space relationship (fig. 4, fig. 5b).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-23, 25-34, 36-42 are allowed.

Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Rinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:20 -4:20.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571-272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,099

Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kbr

KENNETH RINEHART PRIMARY EXAMINER